arXiv:1610.06714v1 [math.DG] 21 Oct 2016

ON LIE ALGEBRAS OF GENERATORS OF INFINITESIMAL SYMMETRIES OF ALMOST-COSYMPLECTIC-CONTACT STRUCTURES

JOSEF JANYŠKA

Abstract. We study Lie algebras of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of almost-cosymplectic-contact structures of odd dimensional manifolds. The almost-cosymplectic-contact structure admits on the sheaf of pairs of 1-forms and functions the structure of a Lie algebra. We describe Lie subalgebras in this Lie algebra given by pairs generating infinitesimal symmetries of basic tensor fields given by the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure.

Keywords: Almost-cosymplectic-contact structure; almost-coPoisson-Jacobi structure; infinitesimal symmetry; Lie algebra.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 53C15.

Introduction

The (7-dimensional) phase space of the (4-dimensional) classical spacetime can be defined as the space of 1-jets of motions, [4]. A Lorentzian metric and an electromagnetic field then define on the phase space the geometrical structure given by a 1-form ω and a 2-form Ω such that $\omega\wedge\Omega^3\not\equiv 0$ and $d\Omega=0$. In [5] such structure was generalized for any odd-dimensional manifold $\boldsymbol{M}$ under the name almost-cosymplectic-contact structure. The almost-cosymplectic-contact structure on $\boldsymbol{M}$ admits a Lie bracket $[\![\,,\,]\!]$ of pairs (α,h) of 1-forms and functions which define a Lie algebra structure on the sheaf $\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M})\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$.

In [2, 6] we have studied infinitesimal symmetries of the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure of the classical phase space. In this paper we shall study infinitesimal symmetries of basic fields generating almost-cosymplectic-contact structure on any odd dimensional manifold. We shall prove that such infinitesimal symmetries are generated by pairs (α,h) satisfying certain properties and the restriction of $[\![\,,\,]\!]$ to the subsheaf of generators of infinitesimal symmetries defines Lie subalgebras in $(\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M})\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M});\,[\![\,,\,]\!])$.

Supported by the grant GA ČR 14–02476S.

In the paper all manifolds and mappings are assumed to be smooth.

1. Preliminaries

We recall some basic notions used in the paper.

Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. Let us denote by $\mathcal{V}^p(\boldsymbol{M})$ the sheaf of skew symmetric contravariant tensor fields of type $(p,0)$. As the *Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket* (see, for instance, [11]) we assume the 1st order bilinear natural differential operator (see [8])

$$[,] : \mathcal{V}^p(\boldsymbol{M}) \times \mathcal{V}^q(\boldsymbol{M}) \to \mathcal{V}^{p+q-1}(\boldsymbol{M})$$

given by

$$i_{[P,Q]}\beta = (-1)^{q(p+1)} i_P d i_Q \beta + (-1)^p i_Q d i_P \beta - i_{P\wedge Q} d\beta \tag{1.1}$$

for any $P \in \mathcal{V}^p(\boldsymbol{M})$, $Q \in \mathcal{V}^q(\boldsymbol{M})$ and $(p+q-1)$-form β. Especially, for a vector field X, we have $[X,P] = L_X P$. The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket is a generalization of the Lie bracket of vector fields.

We have the following identities

$$[P,Q] = (-1)^{pq}\,[Q,P]\,, \tag{1.2}$$

$$[P, Q\wedge R] = [P,Q]\wedge R + (-1)^{pq+q}\, Q\wedge [P,R]\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where $R \in \mathcal{V}^r(\boldsymbol{M})$. Further we have the (graded) Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{p(r-1)}\,\big[P,[Q,R]\big] + (-1)^{q(p-1)}\,\big[Q,[R,P]\big] \\ +(-1)^{r(q-1)}\,\big[R,[P,Q]\big] = 0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Structures of odd dimensional manifolds. Let $\boldsymbol{M}$ be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional manifold.

A *pre cosymplectic (regular) structure (pair)* on $\boldsymbol{M}$ is given by a 1-form ω and a 2-form Ω such that $\omega\wedge\Omega^n \not\equiv 0$. A *contravariant (regular) structure (pair)* (E,Λ) is given by a vector field E and a skew symmetric 2-vector field Λ such that $E\wedge\Lambda^n \not\equiv 0$. We denote by $\Omega^\flat : T\boldsymbol{M} \to T^*\boldsymbol{M}$ and $\Lambda^\sharp : T^*\boldsymbol{M} \to T\boldsymbol{M}$ the corresponding "musical" morphisms.

By [9] if (ω,Ω) is a pre cosymplectic pair then there exists a unique regular pair (E,Λ) such that

$$(\Omega^\flat{}_{|\,\mathrm{Im}(\Lambda^\sharp)})^{-1} = \Lambda^\sharp{}_{|\,\mathrm{Im}(\Omega^\flat)}\,,\quad i_E\omega = 1\,,\quad i_E\Omega = 0\,,\quad i_\omega\Lambda = 0\,. \tag{1.5}$$

On the other hand for any regular pair (E,Λ) there exists a unique (regular) pair (ω,Ω) satisfying the above identities. The pairs (ω,Ω) and (E,Λ) satisfying the above identities are said to be mutually *dual*. The vector field E is usually called the *Reeb vector field* of the pair (ω,Ω). In fact geometrical structures given by dual pairs coincide.

An *almost-cosymplectic-contact (regular) structure (pair)* [5] is given by a pair (ω, Ω) such that

$$d\Omega = 0\,, \qquad \omega \wedge \Omega^n \not\equiv 0\,. \tag{1.6}$$

The dual *almost-coPoisson-Jacobi structure (pair)* is given by the pair (E, Λ) such that

$$[E, \Lambda] = -E \wedge \Lambda^\sharp(L_E\omega)\,, \qquad [\Lambda, \Lambda] = 2\,E \wedge (\Lambda^\sharp \otimes \Lambda^\sharp)(d\omega)\,. \tag{1.7}$$

Here $[,]$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket (1.1).

Remark 1.1. An almost-cosymplectic-contact pair generalizes standard cosymplectic and contact pairs. Really, if $d\omega = 0$ we obtain a cosymplectic pair (se, for instance, [1]). The dual *coPoisson pair* (see [5]) is given by the pair (E, Λ) such that $[E, \Lambda] = 0\,,\ [\Lambda, \Lambda] = 0\,.$ A contact structure (pair) is given by a pair (ω, Ω) such that $\Omega = d\omega\,,$ $\omega \wedge \Omega^n \not\equiv 0\,.$ The dual *Jacobi structure (pair)* is given by the pair (E, Λ) such that $[E, \Lambda] = 0\,,\ [\Lambda, \Lambda] = -2E \wedge \Lambda$ (see [7]).

Remark 1.2. Given an almost-cosymplectic-contact regular pair (ω, Ω) we can consider the second pair $(\omega, F = \Omega + d\omega)$ which is almost-cosymplectic-contact but generally need not be regular.

Splitting of the tangent bundle. In what follows we assume an odd dimensional manifold $\boldsymbol{M}$ with a regular almost-cosymplectic-contact structure (ω, Ω). We assume the dual (regular) almost-coPoisson-Jacobi structure (E, Λ). Then we have $\mathrm{Ker}(\omega) = \mathrm{Im}(\Lambda^\sharp)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(E) = \mathrm{Im}(\Omega^\flat)$ and we have the splitting

$$T\boldsymbol{M} = \mathrm{Im}(\Lambda^\sharp) \oplus \langle E\rangle\,, \qquad T^*\boldsymbol{M} = \mathrm{Im}(\Omega^\flat) \oplus \langle \omega\rangle\,,$$

i.e. any vector field X and any 1-form β can be decomposed as

$$X = X_{(\alpha,h)} = \alpha^\sharp + h\,E\,, \qquad \beta = \beta_{(Y,f)} = Y^\flat + f\,\omega\,, \tag{1.8}$$

where $h, f \in C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$, α be a 1-form and Y be a vector field. In what follows we shall use notation $\alpha^\sharp = \Lambda^\sharp(\alpha)$ and $Y^\flat = \Omega^\flat(Y)$. Moreover, $h = \omega(X_{(\alpha,h)})$ and $f = \beta_{(Y,f)}(E)$. Let us note that the splitting (1.8) is not defined uniquely, really $X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)} = X_{(\alpha_2,h_2)}$ if and only if $\alpha_1^\sharp = \alpha_2^\sharp$ and $h_1 = h_2$, i.e. $\alpha_1^\sharp - \alpha_2^\sharp = 0$ that means that $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \in \langle\omega\rangle$. Similarly $\beta_{(Y_1,f_1)} = \beta_{(Y_2,f_2)}$ if and only if $Y_1 - Y_2 \in \langle E\rangle$ and $f_1 = f_2$.

The projections $p_2 : T\boldsymbol{M} \to \langle E\rangle$ and $p_1 : T\boldsymbol{M} \to \mathrm{Im}(\Lambda^\sharp) = \mathrm{Ker}(\omega)$ are given by $X \mapsto \omega(X)\,E$ and $X \mapsto X - \omega(X)\,E\,.$ Equivalently, the projections $q_2 : T^*\boldsymbol{M} \to \langle\omega\rangle$ and $q_1 : T^*\boldsymbol{M} \to \mathrm{Im}(\Omega^\flat) = \mathrm{Ker}(E)$ are given by $\beta \mapsto \beta(E)\,\omega$ and $\beta \mapsto \beta - \beta(E)\,\omega\,.$ Moreover, $\Lambda^\sharp \circ \Omega^\flat = p_1$ and $\Omega^\flat \circ \Lambda^\sharp = q_1$.

2. Lie algebras of generators of infinitesimal symmetries

We shall study infinitesimal symmetries of basic tensor fields generating the almost-cosymplectic-contact and the dual almost-coPoisson-Jacobi structures.

2.1. **Lie algebra of pairs of 1-forms and functions.** The almost-cosymplectic-contact structure allows us to define a Lie algebra structure on the sheaf $\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M})\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ of 1-forms and functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let us assume two vector fields* $X_{(\alpha_i,h_i)}=\alpha_i^\sharp+h_i\,E$, $i=1,2$, *on* $\boldsymbol{M}$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(2.1)\quad [X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)},X_{(\alpha_2,h_2)}] &= \big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)-i_{\alpha_2^\sharp}d\alpha_1+i_{\alpha_1^\sharp}d\alpha_2\\ &\quad -\alpha_1(E)\,(i_{\alpha_2^\sharp}d\omega)+\alpha_2(E)\,(i_{\alpha_1^\sharp}d\omega)\\ &\quad +h_1\,(L_E\alpha_2-\alpha_2(E)\,L_E\omega)-h_2\,(L_E\alpha_1-\alpha_1(E)\,L_E\omega)\big)^\sharp\\ &\quad +\big(\alpha_1^\sharp.h_2-\alpha_2^\sharp.h_1-d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp,\alpha_2^\sharp)\\ &\quad +h_1\,(E.h_2+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_2))\\ &\quad -h_2\,(E.h_1+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_1))\big)\,E\,.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from (see [5])

$$(2.2)\qquad [E,\alpha^\sharp]=\big(L_E\alpha-\alpha(E)\,(L_E\omega)\big)^\sharp+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha)\,E\,,$$

$$\begin{aligned}(2.3)\qquad [\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp] &= \big(d\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)-i_{\beta^\sharp}d\alpha+\alpha(E)\,(i_{\beta^\sharp}d\omega)\\ &\quad +i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\beta-\beta(E)\,(i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega)\big)^\sharp-d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)\,E\,.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}[X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)},X_{(\alpha_2,h_2)}] &= [\alpha_1^\sharp,\alpha_2^\sharp]+h_2[\alpha_1^\sharp,E]+h_1[E,\alpha_2^\sharp]\\ &\quad +\big(\alpha_1^\sharp.h_2-\alpha_2^\sharp.h_1+h_1E.h_2-h_2E.h_1\big)\,E\end{aligned}$$

and from (2.2) and (2.3) we get Lemma 2.1. □

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1 we get the Lie bracket of pairs $(\alpha_i,h_i)\in\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M})\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}(2.4)\quad [\![\,(\alpha_1,h_1);(\alpha_2,h_2)\,]\!] &= \big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)-i_{\alpha_2^\sharp}d\alpha_1+i_{\alpha_1^\sharp}d\alpha_2\\ &\quad +\alpha_1(E)\,(i_{\alpha_2^\sharp}d\omega)-\alpha_2(E)\,(i_{\alpha_1^\sharp}d\omega)\\ &\quad +h_1\,(L_E\alpha_2-\alpha_2(E)\,L_E\omega)-h_2\,(L_E\alpha_1-\alpha_1(E)\,L_E\omega)\,;\\ &\quad \alpha_1^\sharp.h_2-\alpha_2^\sharp.h_1-d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp,\alpha_2^\sharp)\\ &\quad +h_1\,(E.h_2+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_2))-h_2\,(E.h_1+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_1))\big)\end{aligned}$$

which defines a Lie algebra structure on $\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M}) \times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ given by the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure (ω, Ω). Moreover, we have

$$X_{[\![(\alpha_1,h_1);(\alpha_2,h_2)]\!]} = [X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)}, X_{(\alpha_2,h_2)}] .$$

Let T be a tensor field of any type. An *infinitesimal symmetry* of T is a vector field X on $\boldsymbol{M}$ such that $L_X T = 0$. From

$$L_{[X,Y]} = L_X L_Y - L_Y L_X$$

it follows that infinitesimal symmetries of T form a Lie subalgebra, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(T)$, of the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{V}^1(\boldsymbol{M}); [,])$ of vector fields on $\boldsymbol{M}$. Moreover, the Lie subalgebra $(\mathcal{L}(T); [,])$ is generated by the Lie subalgebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(T); [\![,]\!])$ $\subset$ $(\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M}) \times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M}); [\![,]\!])$ of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of T.

Remark 2.1. Let as recall that a *Lie algebroid structure* of a a vector bundle $\pi : \boldsymbol{E} \to \boldsymbol{M}$ is defined by (see, for instance, [10]):

- a composition law $(s_1, s_2) \longmapsto [\![s_1, s_2]\!]$ on the space $\Gamma(\pi)$ of smooth sections of $\boldsymbol{E}$, for which $\Gamma(\pi)$ becomes a Lie algebra,

- a smooth vector bundle map $\rho : \boldsymbol{E} \to T\boldsymbol{M}$, where $T\boldsymbol{M}$ is the tangent bundle of $\boldsymbol{M}$, which satisfies the following two properties:

(i) the map $s \to \rho \circ s$ is a Lie algebras homomorphism from the Lie algebra $(\Gamma(\pi); [\![,]\!])$ into the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{V}^1(\boldsymbol{M}); [,])$;

(ii) for every pair (s_1, s_2) of smooth sections of π, and every smooth function $f : \boldsymbol{M} \to \mathbb{R}$, we have the Leibniz-type formula,

$$[\![s_1, f\, s_2]\!] = f\, [\![s_1, s_2]\!] + (i_{(\rho \circ s_1)} df)\, s_2 . \tag{2.5}$$

The vector bundle $\pi : \boldsymbol{E} \to \boldsymbol{M}$ equipped with its Lie algebroid structure will be called a *Lie algebroid*; the composition law $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto [\![s_1, s_2]\!]$ will be called the *bracket* and the map $\rho : \boldsymbol{E} \to T\boldsymbol{M}$ the *anchor*.

The pair (α, h) can be considered as a section $\boldsymbol{M} \to T^*\boldsymbol{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the bracket (2.4) defines the Lie bracket of sections of the vector bundle $\boldsymbol{E} = T^*\boldsymbol{M} \times \mathbb{R} \to \boldsymbol{M}$. A natural question arise if this bracket defines on $\boldsymbol{E}$ the structure of a Lie algebroid with the anchor $\rho : \boldsymbol{E} \to T\boldsymbol{M}$ such that $\rho \circ (\alpha, h) = X_{(\alpha,h)}$. The answer is no because, for $f \in C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\![(\alpha_1, h_1); f\, (\alpha_2, h_2)]\!] &= f\, [\![(\alpha_1, h_1); (\alpha_2, h_2)]\!] \\ &\quad + (X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)}.f)\, (\alpha_2, h_2) + \Lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\, df , \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the Leibniz-type formula (2.5) is not satisfied.

2.2. **Infinitesimal symmetries of ω.**

Theorem 2.2. *A vector field X on $\boldsymbol{M}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of ω, i.e. $L_X\omega = 0$, if and only if $X = X_{(\alpha,h)}$, where α and h are related by the following condition*

$$i_{\alpha^\sharp} d\omega + h\, i_E\, d\omega + dh = 0\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Any vector field on $\boldsymbol{M}$ is of the form $X_{(\alpha,h)}$. Then we get

$$0 = L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\omega = i_{\alpha^\sharp} d\omega + i_{h\,E} d\omega + d i_{\alpha^\sharp}\omega + d i_{h\,E}\omega$$

and from $i_{\alpha^\sharp}\omega = 0$ and $i_E\omega = 1$ Theorem 2.2 follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *A vector field $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of ω if and only if the following equations are satisfied:*

1. $i_E dh + i_E i_{\alpha^\sharp} d\omega = E.h + \Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha) = 0\,,$
2. $d\omega(\alpha^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) + h\, d\omega(E, \beta^\sharp) + dh(\beta^\sharp) = 0$ *for any 1-form β.*

Proof. If we evaluate the 1-form on the left hand side of (2.6) on the Reeb vector field E we get $i_E dh + i_E i_{\alpha^\sharp} d\omega = E.h - i_{\alpha^\sharp} i_E d\omega = E.h - \Lambda(\alpha, L_E\omega) = 0$. On the other hand if we evaluate this form on $\beta^\sharp$, for any 1-form β, we get (2).

The inverse follows from the splitting $T\boldsymbol{M} = \mathrm{Im}(\Lambda^\sharp) \oplus \langle E\rangle$, i.e. a 1-form with zero values on E and $\beta^\sharp$, for any 1-form β, is the zero form. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let us assume two infinitesimal symmetries $X_{(\alpha_i,h_i)} = \alpha_i^\sharp + h_i\, E$, $i = 1, 2$, of ω. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
[X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)}, X_{(\alpha_2,h_2)}] = \big(& d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) - i_{\alpha_2^\sharp} d\alpha_1 + i_{\alpha_1^\sharp} d\alpha_2 \\
& + \alpha_1(E)\,(i_{\alpha_2^\sharp} d\omega) - \alpha_2(E)\,(i_{\alpha_1^\sharp} d\omega) \\
& + h_1\,(L_E\alpha_2 - \alpha_2(E)\,L_E\omega) - h_2\,(L_E\alpha_1 - \alpha_1(E)\,L_E\omega)\big)^\sharp \\
& + \big(\alpha_1^\sharp.h_2 - \alpha_2^\sharp.h_1 - d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp)\big)\, E
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and we obtain the bracket

$$\begin{aligned}
[\![\,(\alpha_1,h_1);(\alpha_2,h_2)\,]\!] = \big(& d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) - i_{\alpha_2^\sharp} d\alpha_1 + i_{\alpha_1^\sharp} d\alpha_2 \\
& + \alpha_1(E)\,(i_{\alpha_2^\sharp} d\omega) - \alpha_2(E)\,(i_{\alpha_1^\sharp} d\omega) \\
& + h_1\,(L_E\alpha_2 - \alpha_2(E)\,L_E\omega) - h_2\,(L_E\alpha_1 - \alpha_1(E)\,L_E\omega)\,; \\
& \alpha_1^\sharp.h_2 - \alpha_2^\sharp.h_1 - d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp)\big) \\
& \qquad = \big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) - i_{\alpha_2^\sharp} d\alpha_1 + i_{\alpha_1^\sharp} d\alpha_2 \\
& - \alpha_1(E)\, dh_2 + \alpha_2(E)\, dh_1 + h_1\, L_E\alpha_2 - h_2\, L_E\alpha_1\,; \\
& d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp) + h_1\, d\omega(E, \alpha_2^\sharp) - h_2\, d\omega(E, \alpha_1^\sharp)\big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and (2.4). □

According to Lemma 2.4 the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{L}(\omega);[,])$ is generated by the Lie subalgebra of pairs $(\alpha,h)\in(\mathtt{Gen}(\omega);[\![\,,\,]\!])\subset(\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M})\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M});[\![\,,\,]\!])$ satisfying the condition (2.6) (or conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.3) with the bracket (2.8).

2.3. **Infinitesimal symmetries of Ω.**

Theorem 2.5. *A vector field X on $\boldsymbol{M}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of Ω, i.e. $L_X\Omega=0$, if and only if $X=X_{(\alpha,h)}$, where*

$$d\alpha=0\,,\quad \alpha(E)=0\,, \tag{2.9}$$

i.e. α is a closed 1-form in $\mathrm{Ker}(E)$.

Proof. We have the splitting (1.8) and consider a vector field $X_{(\beta,h)}$. Then, from $d\Omega=0$ and $i_E\Omega=0$, we get

$$0=L_{X_{(\beta,h)}}\Omega=di_{\beta^\sharp}\Omega=d(\beta^\sharp)^\flat=d(\beta-\beta(E)\,\omega)$$

which implies that the closed 1-form $\alpha=\beta-\beta(E)\,\omega$ is such that $\alpha^\sharp=\beta^\sharp$. Moreover, $\alpha(E)=\beta(E)-\beta(E)\,\omega(E)=0$. □

In what follows we shall denote by $\mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$ the sheaf of closed 1-forms vanishing on E. From Theorem 2.5 it follows that the Lie algebra $(\mathcal{L}(\Omega);[,])$ of infinitesimal symmetries of Ω is generated by pairs (α,h), where $\alpha=\mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$. In this case the bracket (2.4) is reduced to the bracket

$$\begin{aligned}[\![\,(\alpha_1,h_1);&(\alpha_2,h_2)\,]\!]:=\big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2);\\ &\alpha_1^\sharp.h_2-\alpha_2^\sharp.h_1-d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp,\alpha_2^\sharp)\\ &+h_1\,(E.h_2+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_2))-h_2\,(E.h_1+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_1))\big)\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

which defines a Lie algebra structure on $\mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ which can be considered as a Lie subalgebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(\Omega);[\![\,,\,]\!])\subset(\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M})\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M});[\![\,,\,]\!])$. Really, $\mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)\times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ is closed with respect to the bracket (2.10) which follows from

$$\begin{aligned}i_Ed\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)&=L_E(\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2))\\ &=(L_E\Lambda)(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)+\Lambda(L_E\alpha_1,\alpha_2)+\Lambda(\alpha_1,L_E\alpha_2)\\ &=i_{[E,\Lambda]}(\alpha_1\wedge\alpha_2)=-i_{E\wedge(L_E\omega)^\sharp}(\alpha_1\wedge\alpha_2)=0\,.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. Any closed 1-form can be locally considered as $\alpha=df$ for a function $f\in C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$. Moreover, from $\alpha\in\mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$, the function f satisfies $df(E)=E.f=0$. Hence, infinitesimal symmetries of Ω are locally generated by pairs of functions (f,h) where $E.f=0$. Lie

algebras of local generators of infinitesimal symmetries of the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure are studied in [3].

2.4. **Infinitesimal symmetries of the Reeb vector field.**

Theorem 2.6. *A vector field X on $\boldsymbol{M}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of E, i.e. $L_X E = [X, E] = 0$, if and only if $X = X_{(\alpha,h)}$, where α and h satisfy the following conditions*

$$(L_E\alpha - \alpha(E)\, L_E\omega)^\sharp = 0\,, \tag{2.11}$$

$$E.h + \Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha) = 0\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. We have

$$0 = [X_{(\alpha,h)}, E] = [\alpha^\sharp, E] + [h\,E, E]$$

and from (2.2) we get

$$[X_{(\alpha,h)}, E] = -\big(L_E\alpha - \alpha(E)\, L_E\omega\big)^\sharp - \big(E.h + \Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha)\big)\, E$$

which proves Theorem 2.6. □

Remark 2.3. The condition (2.11) of Theorem 2.6 is equivalent to the condition

$$(L_E\alpha)(\beta^\sharp) - \alpha(E)\,(L_E\omega)(\beta^\sharp) = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

for any 1-form β.

Lemma 2.7. *The restriction of the bracket* (2.4) *to pairs (α, h) satisfying the conditions* (2.11) *and* (2.12) *is the bracket*

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket\, (\alpha_1, h_1); (\alpha_2, h_2)\,\rrbracket \;=\; &\big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) - i_{\alpha_2^\sharp} d\alpha_1 + i_{\alpha_1^\sharp} d\alpha_2 \\ &+ \alpha_1(E)\,(i_{\alpha_2^\sharp} d\omega) - \alpha_2(E)\,(i_{\alpha_1^\sharp} d\omega)\,; \\ &\alpha_1^\sharp.h_2 - \alpha_2^\sharp.h_1 - d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp)\big) \\ = \big(&- d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) - L_{\alpha_2^\sharp}\alpha_1 + L_{\alpha_1^\sharp}\alpha_2 \\ &+ \alpha_1(E)\,(L_{\alpha_2^\sharp}\omega) - \alpha_2(E)\,(L_{\alpha_1^\sharp}\omega)\,; \\ &\alpha_1^\sharp.h_2 - \alpha_2^\sharp.h_1 - d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp)\big)\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

which defines a Lie algebra structure on the subsheaf of $\Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M}) \times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ of pairs of 1-forms and functions satisfying conditions (2.11) *and* (2.12).

Proof. It follows from (2.4), (2.11) and (2.12). □

2.5. **Infinitesimal symmetries of Λ.**

Theorem 2.8. *A vector field X on $\boldsymbol{M}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of Λ, i.e. $L_X\Lambda = [X,\Lambda] = 0$, if and only if $X = X_{(\alpha,h)}$, where α and h satisfy the following conditions*

$$[\alpha^\sharp,\Lambda] - E\wedge(dh + h\,L_E\omega)^\sharp = 0\,. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. We have

$$L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\Lambda = [\alpha^\sharp,\Lambda] + [h\,E,\Lambda]\,.$$

Theorem 2.8 follows from

$$[h\,E,\Lambda] = h\,[E,\Lambda] - E\wedge dh^\sharp = -E\wedge(dh + h\,L_E\omega)^\sharp\,. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 2.9. *A vector field $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of Λ if and only if the following conditions*

$$d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp) + h\,d\omega(E,\beta^\sharp) + dh(\beta^\sharp) = 0\,, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\alpha(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) - d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

are satisfied for any 1-forms β,γ.

Proof. It is sufficient to evaluate the 2-vector field on the left hand side of (2.15) on ω,β and β,γ, where β,γ are closed 1-forms. We get

$$i_{[\alpha^\sharp,\Lambda]-E\wedge(dh+h\,L_E\omega)^\sharp}(\omega\wedge\beta) = -\Lambda(i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega + h\,L_E\omega + dh,\beta)$$

which vanishes if and only if (2.16) is satisfied.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} i_{[\alpha^\sharp,\Lambda]-E\wedge(dh+h\,L_E\omega)^\sharp}(\beta\wedge\gamma) = \\ &= \Lambda(\alpha,d\Lambda(\beta,\gamma)) + \Lambda(\beta,d\Lambda(\gamma,\alpha)) + \Lambda(\gamma,d\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)) \\ &\quad - \beta(E)\,\Lambda(h\,L_E\omega + dh,\gamma) + \gamma(E)\,\Lambda(h\,L_E\omega + dh,\beta) \end{aligned}$$

which, by using (2.16), can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} i_{[\alpha^\sharp,\Lambda]-E\wedge(dh+h\,L_E\omega)^\sharp}(\beta\wedge\gamma) &= -\tfrac{1}{2}i_{[\Lambda,\Lambda]}(\alpha\wedge\beta\wedge\gamma) + d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) \\ &\quad + \beta(E)\,\Lambda(i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega,\gamma) - \gamma(E)\,\Lambda(i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega,\beta) \\ &= -i_{E\wedge(\Lambda^\sharp\otimes\Lambda^\sharp)(d\omega)}(\alpha\wedge\beta\wedge\gamma) + d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) \\ &\quad + \beta(E)\,\Lambda(i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega,\gamma) - \gamma(E)\,\Lambda(i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega,\beta) \\ &= -\alpha(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) + d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes if and only if (2.17) is satisfied.

On the other hand if (2.16) and (2.17) are satisfied, then the 2-vector field $[\alpha^\sharp,\Lambda] - E\wedge(dh + h\,L_E\omega)^\sharp$ is the zero 2-vector field. $\square$

2.6. **Infinitesimal symmetries of the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure and the dual almost-coPoisson-Jacobi structure.** An *infinitesimal symmetry of the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure* (ω,Ω) is a vector field X on $\boldsymbol{M}$ such that $L_X\omega = 0$ and $L_X\Omega = 0$. On the other hand an *infinitesimal symmetry of the almost-coPoisson-Jacobi structure* (E,Λ) is a vector field X on $\boldsymbol{M}$ such that $L_XE = [X,E] = 0$ and $L_X\Omega = [X,\Lambda] = 0$.

Theorem 2.10. *A vector field X is an infinitesimal symmetry of the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure (ω,Ω) if and only if $X = X_{(\alpha,h)}$, where $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$ and the condition* (2.6) *is satisfied.*

Proof. It follows from Theorems 2.2 and 2.5. □

Lemma 2.11. *A vector field $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of (ω,Ω) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

(1) $\alpha \in \ker_{cl}(\boldsymbol{E})$, *i.e.* $d\alpha = 0$, $\alpha(E) = 0$,
(2) $i_E dh + i_E i_{\alpha^\sharp} d\omega = E.h + \Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha) = 0$,
(3) $d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp) + h\, d\omega(E,\beta^\sharp) + dh(\beta^\sharp) = 0$ *for any 1-form β.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 2.3. □

The bracket (2.4) restricted for generators of infinitesimal symmetries of (ω,Ω) gives the bracket

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\![\,(\alpha_1,h_1);(\alpha_2,h_2)\,]\!] = \\
&= \big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2); \alpha_1^\sharp.h_2 - \alpha_2^\sharp.h_1 - d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp,\alpha_2^\sharp)\big) \\
&= \big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2); d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp,\alpha_2^\sharp) + h_2\,\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_1) - h_1\,\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha_2)\big) \\
&= \big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2); d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp,\alpha_2^\sharp) + h_1\,E.h_2 - h_2\,E.h_1\big)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.18}
$$

which defines the Lie algebra structure on the subsheaf of $\mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E) \times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ given by pairs satisfying the condition (2.6). We shall denote the Lie algebra of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of (ω,Ω) by $(\mathtt{Gen}(\omega,\Omega);\, [\![\,,\,]\!]\,)$.

Corollary 2.12. *An infinitesimal symmetry of the cosymplectic structure (ω,Ω) is a vector field $X_{(\alpha,h)}$, where $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$ and h is a constant.*

Then the bracket (2.4) *is reduced to*

$$[\![\,(\alpha_1,h_1);(\alpha_2,h_2)\,]\!] = \big(d\Lambda(\alpha_1,\alpha_2);0\big)\,.$$

I.e. we obtain the subalgebra $(\mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(\boldsymbol{E}) \times \mathbb{R},\, [\![\,,\,]\!]\,)$ of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of the cosymplectic structure.

Proof. For the cosymplectic structure we have $d\omega = 0$ and (2.6) reduces to $dh = 0$. □

Corollary 2.13. *Any infinitesimal symmetry of the contact structure* (ω, Ω) *is of local type*

$$X_{(dh,-h)} = dh^\sharp - h\,E\,, \tag{2.19}$$

where $E\boldsymbol{.}h = 0$. *I.e., infinitesimal symmetries of the contact structure are Hamilton-Jacobi lifts of functions satisfying* $E\boldsymbol{.}h = 0$.

Then the bracket (2.4) *is reduced to*

$$[\![\,(dh_1, -h_1); (dh_2, -h_2)\,]\!] = \big(d\{h_1, h_2\}, -\{h_1, h_2\}\big)\,.$$

I.e., the subalgebra of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of the contact structure is identified with the Lie algebra $(C^\infty_{\boldsymbol{E}}(\boldsymbol{M}), \{,\})$, *where* $C^\infty_{\boldsymbol{E}}(\boldsymbol{M})$ *is the sheaf of functions* h *such that* $E\boldsymbol{.}h = 0$ *and* $\{,\}$ *is the Poisson bracket.*

Proof. For a contact structure we have $d\omega = \Omega$ and (2.6) reduces to $i_{\alpha^\sharp}\Omega + dh = \alpha + dh = 0$, i.e. $\alpha = -dh$. From $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$ we get $E\boldsymbol{.}h = 0$. □

Remark 2.4. For cosymplectic and contact structures a constant multiple of the Reeb vector field is an infinitesimal symmetry of the structure. It is not true for the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure.

Lemma 2.14. *A vector field* $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ *is an infinitesimal symmetry of* (E, Λ) *if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

(1) $(L_E\alpha)(\beta^\sharp) - \alpha(E)\,(L_E\omega)(\beta^\sharp) = 0\,,$
(2) $E\boldsymbol{.}h + \Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha) = 0\,,$
(3) $d\omega(\alpha^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) + h\,d\omega(E, \beta^\sharp) + dh(\beta^\sharp) = 0\,,$
(4) $\alpha(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp, \gamma^\sharp) - d\alpha(\beta^\sharp, \gamma^\sharp) = 0$

for any 1-forms β, γ.

Proof. From Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.9 $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of (E, Λ) if and only if (2.11), (2.12), (2.16) and (2.17) are satisfied. □

We shall denote the Lie algebra of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of (E, Λ) by $(\mathtt{Gen}(E, \Lambda); [\![\,,\,]\!])$.

Remark 2.5. We can describe also the Lie algebras of infinitesimal symmetries of other pairs of basic fields. Especially:

1. The Lie algebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(E, \Omega); [\![\,,\,]\!])$ is given by pairs satisfying
   (1) $\alpha \in \ker_{cl}(\boldsymbol{E})$, i.e. $d\alpha = 0\,,\ \alpha(E) = 0\,,$
   (2) $E\boldsymbol{.}h + \Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha) = 0\,.$
2. The Lie algebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(\Lambda, \Omega); [\![\,,\,]\!])$ is given by pairs satisfying
   (1) $\alpha \in \ker_{cl}(\boldsymbol{E})$, i.e. $d\alpha = 0\,,\ \alpha(E) = 0\,,$

(2) $d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)+h\,d\omega(E,\beta^\sharp)+dh(\beta^\sharp)=0$ for any 1-form β.

3. The Lie algebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(E,\omega);[\![\,,\,]\!])$ is given by pairs satisfying
   (1) $(L_E\alpha)(\beta^\sharp)-\alpha(E)\,(L_E\omega)(\beta^\sharp)=0$ for any 1-form β,
   (2) $E.h+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha)=0$,
   (3) $d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)+h\,d\omega(E,\beta^\sharp)+dh(\beta^\sharp)=0$ for any 1-form β.

4. The Lie algebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(\Lambda,\omega);[\![\,,\,]\!])$ is given by pairs satisfying
   (1) $E.h+\Lambda(L_E\omega,\alpha)=0$,
   (2) $d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)+h\,d\omega(E,\beta^\sharp)+dh(\beta^\sharp)=0$ for any 1-form β,
   (3) $\alpha(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp)-d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp)=0$ for any 1-forms β,γ.

Lemma 2.15. *Let X be a vector field on $\boldsymbol{M}$. Then*

$$L_X\beta^\sharp=(L_X\beta)^\sharp \tag{2.20}$$

for any 1-form β if and only if X is an infinitesimal symmetry of Λ.

Proof. Let $X=X_{(\alpha,h)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\beta^\sharp=[\alpha^\sharp+h\,E,\beta^\sharp]=\big(&d\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)-i_{\beta^\sharp}d\alpha+\alpha(E)i_{\beta^\sharp}d\omega\\&+i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\beta-\beta(E)i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega+h\,L_E\beta-h\,\beta(E)\,L_E\omega\big)^\sharp\\&-\big(d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)+h\,i_{\beta^\sharp}L_E\omega+i_{\beta^\sharp}dh\big)\,E\,.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$(L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\beta)^\sharp=\big(d\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)+i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\beta+h\,L_E\beta+\beta(E)\,dh\big)^\sharp\,.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\beta)^\sharp-L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\beta^\sharp=\big(&i_{\beta^\sharp}d\alpha-\alpha(E)i_{\beta^\sharp}d\omega\\&+\beta(E)\,(dh+h\,L_E\omega+i_{\alpha^\sharp}d\omega)\big)^\sharp+\big(d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)+h\,i_{\beta^\sharp}L_E\omega+i_{\beta^\sharp}dh\big)\,E\,.\end{aligned}$$

The identity (2.20) is satisfied if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp)-\alpha(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp)=0\,,\\d\omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)+h\,d\omega(E,\beta^\sharp)+dh(\beta^\sharp)=0\end{aligned}$$

for any 1-form γ, i.e., by Lemma 2.9, if and only if $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ is an infinitesimal symmetry of Λ. □

Theorem 2.16. *Let X be a vector field on $\boldsymbol{M}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $L_X\omega=0$ and $L_X\Omega=0$.

2. $L_XE=[X,E]=0$ and $L_X\Lambda=[X,\Lambda]=0$.

Hence the Lie algebras $(\mathtt{Gen}(\omega,\Omega);[\![\,,\,]\!])$ and $(\mathtt{Gen}(E,\Lambda);[\![\,,\,]\!])$ coincides.

Proof. 1. $\Rightarrow$ 2. If the conditions (1), (2) and (3) in Lemma 2.11 are satisfied then the conditions (1), ... ,(4) in Lemma 2.14 are satisfied.

2. $\Rightarrow$ 1. From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.14 it follows that infinitesimal symmetries of (E,Λ) are infinitesimal symmetries of ω. Now let $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ be an infinitesimal symmetry of (E,Λ). To prove that $X_{(\alpha,h)}$ is the infinitesimal symmetry of Ω it is sufficient to evaluate $L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\Omega = d(i_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\Omega)$ on pairs of vector fields $E, \beta^\sharp$ and $\beta^\sharp, \gamma^\sharp$, where β, γ are any 1-forms. From (1.7), (2.2), (2.3) and $\Omega(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) = -\Lambda(\beta,\gamma)$ (see [5]) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\Omega)(E,\beta^\sharp) &= E.(\Omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)) - \beta^\sharp.(\Omega(\alpha^\sharp,E)) - \Omega(\alpha^\sharp,[E,\beta^\sharp])\\ &= -E.(\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)) + \Lambda(\alpha,L_E\beta) - \beta(E)\,\Lambda(\alpha,L_E\omega)\\ &= -(L_E\Lambda)(\alpha,\beta) - \Lambda(L_E\alpha,\beta) - \beta(E)\,\Lambda(\alpha,L_E\omega)\\ &= i_{E\wedge(L_E\omega)^\sharp}(\alpha\wedge\beta) - \Lambda(L_E\alpha,\beta) - \beta(E)\,\Lambda(\alpha,L_E\omega)\\ &= -\alpha(E)\,(L_E\omega)(\beta^\sharp) + (L_E\alpha)(\beta^\sharp)\end{aligned}$$

which vanishes by (1) of Lemma 2.14. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\Omega)(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) &= \beta^\sharp.(\Omega(\alpha^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp)) - \gamma^\sharp.(\Omega(\alpha^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)) - \Omega(\alpha^\sharp,[\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp])\\ &= -\beta^\sharp.(\Lambda(\alpha,\gamma)) + \gamma^\sharp.(\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)) + \Lambda(\alpha,d(\Lambda(\beta,\gamma))) - \Lambda(\alpha,i_{\gamma^\sharp}d\beta)\\ &\quad + \beta(E)\,\Lambda(\alpha,i_{\gamma^\sharp}d\omega)) + \Lambda(\alpha,i_{\beta^\sharp}d\gamma) - \gamma(E)\,\Lambda(\alpha,i_{\beta^\sharp}d\omega))\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}i_{[\Lambda,\Lambda]}(\alpha\wedge\beta\wedge\gamma)\\ &\quad + d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) - \gamma(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp,\alpha^\sharp) + \beta(E)\,d\omega(\gamma^\sharp,\alpha^\sharp)\\ &= -i_{E\wedge(\Lambda^\sharp\otimes\Lambda^\sharp)d\omega}(\alpha\wedge\beta\wedge\gamma)\\ &\quad + d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) - \gamma(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp,\alpha^\sharp) + \beta(E)\,d\omega(\gamma^\sharp,\alpha^\sharp)\\ &= d\alpha(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp) - \alpha(E)\,d\omega(\beta^\sharp,\gamma^\sharp)\end{aligned}$$

which vanishes by (4) of Lemma 2.14. So $L_{X_{(\alpha,h)}}\Omega = 0$. □

2.7. **Derivations on the algebra** $(\mathtt{Gen}(\omega,\Omega);[\![\,,\,]\!])$**.** Let us assume the Lie algebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(\Omega);[\![\,,\,]\!])$ of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of Ω. The bracket $[\![\,,\,]\!]$ is a 1st order bilinear differential operator

$$\mathtt{Gen}(\Omega)\times\mathtt{Gen}(\Omega)\to\mathtt{Gen}(\Omega)\,.$$

Theorem 2.17. *The 1st order differential operator*

$$D_{(\alpha,h)} : \mathtt{Gen}(\Omega)\to\mathtt{Gen}(\Omega)$$

given by

$$D_{(\alpha_1,h_1)}(\alpha_2,h_2) = [\![\,(\alpha_1,h_1);(\alpha_2,h_2)\,]\!]$$

is a derivation on the Lie algebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(\Omega),[\![\,,\,]\!])$.

Proof. It follows from the Jacobi identity for $[\![\,,\,]\!]$. □

We can define a differential operator $L_X : \Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M}) \times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M}) \to \Omega^1(\boldsymbol{M}) \times C^\infty(\boldsymbol{M})$ given by the Lie derivatives with respect to a vector field X, i.e.

$$L_X(\alpha, h) = (L_X\alpha, L_X h)\,. \tag{2.21}$$

Generally this operator does not preserve sheaves of generators of infinitesimal symmetries.

Theorem 2.18. *Let X be an infinitesimal symmetry of the almost-cosymplectic-contact structure (ω, Ω). Then the operator L_X is a derivation on the Lie algebra $(\mathtt{Gen}(\omega, \Omega); [\![\,,\,]\!])$ of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of (ω, Ω).*

Proof. First, let us recall that infinitesimal symmetries of (ω, Ω) are infinitesimal symmetries of (E, Λ). Suppose the bracket (2.18) of generators of infinitesimal symmetries of (ω, Ω). We have to prove that L_X is an operator on $\mathtt{Gen}(\omega, \Omega)$, i.e. that for any $(\alpha, h) \in \mathtt{Gen}(\omega, \Omega)$ the pair $(L_X\alpha, L_X h) \in \mathtt{Gen}(\omega, \Omega)$.

We have $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$, then $L_X\alpha = di_X\alpha$ which is a closed 1-form. Further

$$L_X(\alpha(E)) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (L_X\alpha)(E) + \alpha(L_X E) = (L_X\alpha)(E) = 0$$

and $L_X\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}_{cl}(E)$.

Further we have to prove that the pair $(L_X\alpha, L_X h)$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.3. From $L_X E = 0$ and $L_X\Lambda = 0$ we get $L_X L_E\omega = 0$ and $L_X d\omega = 0$. Moreover, $L_X dh = dL_X h$.

The pair (α, h) satisfies (1) of Lemma 2.3 and we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L_X\big(dh(E) + \Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha)\big) \\ &= d(L_X h)(E) + \Lambda(L_E\omega, L_X\alpha) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the condition (1) of Lemma 2.3 for $(L_X\alpha, L_X h)$ is satisfied.

Similarly, from the condition (2) of Lemma 2.3 we have, for any 1-form β,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L_X\big(d\omega(\alpha^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) + h\, d\omega(E, \beta^\sharp) + dh(\beta^\sharp)\big) \\ &= \big(d\omega(L_X\alpha^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) + (L_X h)\, d\omega(E, \beta^\sharp) + d(L_X h)(\beta^\sharp)\big) \\ &\quad + \big(d\omega(\alpha^\sharp, L_X\beta^\sharp) + h\, d\omega(E, L_X\beta^\sharp) + h\, d\omega(E, L_X\beta^\sharp)\big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

The term in the second bracket is vanishing because of the condition (2) expressed on $L_E\beta^\sharp = (L_E\beta)^\sharp$. Hence the condition (2) of Lemma 2.3 for the pair $(L_X\alpha, L_X h)$ is satisfied and this pair is in $\mathtt{Gen}(\omega, \Omega)$.

Further, we have to prove

$$L_X [\![(\alpha_1, h_1); (\alpha_2, h_2)]\!] = [\![(L_X\alpha_1, L_X h_1); (\alpha_2, h_2)]\!] + [\![(\alpha_1, h_1); (L_X\alpha_2, L_X h_2)]\!] .$$

For the the first parts of the above pairs the identity

$$L_X(d(\Lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))) = d(\Lambda(L_X\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) + d(\Lambda(\alpha_1, L_X\alpha_2))$$

has to be satisfied. But

$$\begin{aligned} L_X(d(\Lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))) &= di_X di_\Lambda(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = di_{[X,\Lambda]}(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) + di_\Lambda di_X(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \\ &= d(([X, \Lambda])(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) + d(\Lambda(L_X\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) + d(\Lambda(\alpha_1, L_X\alpha_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and for $[X, \Lambda] = L_X\Lambda = 0$ the identity holds.

For the second parts of pairs the following identity has to be satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned} &L_X\big(d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp) + h_2\,\Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha_1) - h_1\,\Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha_2)\big) = \\ &\quad = d\omega((L_X\alpha_1)^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp) + h_2\,\Lambda(L_E\omega, L_X\alpha_1) - (L_X h_1)\,\Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha_2) \\ &\quad + d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, (L_X\alpha_2)^\sharp) + (L_X h_2)\,\Lambda(L_E\omega, \alpha_1) - h_1\,\Lambda(L_E\omega, L_X\alpha_2) . \end{aligned}$$

If X is the infinitesimal symmetry of (ω, Ω) then it is also the infinitesimal symmetry of $d\omega$ and $L_E\omega$ and we get that the above identity is equivalent to

$$d\omega(L_X\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp) + d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, L_X\alpha_2^\sharp) = d\omega((L_X\alpha_1)^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp) + d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, (L_X\alpha_2)^\sharp) .$$

By Lemma 2.15 $L_X\alpha_i^\sharp = (L_X\alpha_i)^\sharp$ which proves Theorem 2.18. □

Remark 2.6. We have

$$[\![(\alpha_1, h_1); (\alpha_2, h_2)]\!] = \frac{1}{2}\big(L_{X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)}}(\alpha_2, h_2) - L_{X_{(\alpha_2,h_2)}}(\alpha_1, h_1)\big) . \tag{2.22}$$

Really

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{X_{(\alpha_1,h_1)}}(\alpha_2, h_2) - L_{X_{(\alpha_2,h_2)}}(\alpha_1, h_1) = \\ &\qquad = \big(2\,d\Lambda(\alpha_1, \alpha_2); \alpha_1^\sharp.h_2 - \alpha_2^\sharp.h_1 + h_1\,E.h_2 - h_2\,E.h_1\big) \end{aligned}$$

and from (2) and (3) of Lemma 2.11 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^\sharp.h_2 - \alpha_2^\sharp.h_1 &= 2\,d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp) + h_1\,d\omega(E, \alpha_1^\sharp) - h_2\,d\omega(E, \alpha_1^\sharp) \\ &= 2\,d\omega(\alpha_1^\sharp, \alpha_2^\sharp) + h_1\,dE.h_2 - h_2\,E.h_1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.22).

References

[1] M. de Leon, G. M. Tuynman: *A universal model for cosymplectic manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 20 (1996), 77–86.

[2] J. Janyška: *Relations between constants of motion and conserved functions*, Arch. Math.(Brno) **51** (2015), 297–313, DOI: 10.5817/AM2015-5-297.

[3] J. Janyška: *Remarks on local Lie algebras of pairs of functions*, preprint 2016.

[4] J. Janyška, M. Modugno: *Geometric Structures of the Classical General Relativistic Phase Space*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **5** (2008), 699–754.

[5] J. Janyška, M. Modugno: *Generalized geometrical structures of odd dimensional manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **91** (2009), 211–232.

[6] J. Janyška, R. Vitolo: *On the characterization of infinitesimal symmetries of the relativistic phase space*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** (2012), 485205.

[7] A. A. Kirillov: *Local Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **31** (1976), 55–76.

[8] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor, J. Slovák: Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, Springer 1993.

[9] A. Lichnerowicz: *Les varietés de Jacobi et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*, J. Math. pures et appl. **57** (1978), 453–488.

[10] K. Mackenzie: General Theory of Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series 213, Cambridge University Press 2005.

[11] I. Vaisman: Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin 1994.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Masaryk University
Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic
e-mail: janyska@math.muni.cz